## CHAPTER III <br> RESEARCH METHOD

## A. Research Design

The design of this research was an experimental research. According to Gay, Mill, and Airasian (2000: 250) experimental research is the only type of research that can test a hypothesis to establish cause and effects relationship. In an experimental study, the researcher manipulates at least one independent variable, controls other relevant variables, and observes the effect on one or more dependent variable.

Gay (2000: 251) stated that an experimental research typically involves a comparison of two classes, which are experimental class and control class. The experimental class typically receives treatment, a treatment under investigation, while the control class usually

There are two classes would be invo in this research. The first is classified as
 the same topic, the same length oPiARAHEName teacher. The experimental class would be taught by using Fishbowl Technique and the control class would be taught by using the conventional technique. The treatment would be given to an experimental class about the sixth meeting; every meeting researcher gave different topics. At the end of treatment, the researcher gave the students post-test.

In this research, the researcher used post-test only design. The posttest scores are compared to determine the effect of the treatment. According to Sugiyono (2014: 76), this design takes the following form:

Table 3.1 The Table Research Design

| Group | Treatment | Post test |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E | X | O1 |
| C | ----- | O1 |

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}=\text { Experimental Group } \\
& \mathrm{C}=\text { Control Group } \\
& \mathrm{X}=\text { Teaching by using Three-step interview technique } \\
& \mathrm{O} 1=\text { Students' score of post test }
\end{aligned}
$$

## B. Population and Sample

## 1. Population
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This research would be dondAhD.AdNGr High School 2 Sintoga, in this research the researcher took all students of eight grades; they are about 151 people and they divided into five classes. Each class consisted of 28 and 32 students. The population of the students was shown in the table below:

Table 3.2
Total of students in second grade at Junior High School 2 Sintoga

| NO | CLASS | MALE | FEMALE | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | VIII.1 | 17 | 15 | 32 |
| 2 | VIIII.2 | 10 | 27 | 32 |
| 3 | VIII.3 | 15 | 13 | 28 |
| 4 | VIII.4 | 17 | 13 | 30 |
| 5 | VIII.5 | 13 | 16 | 29 |

Source: English Teacher data collection of Junior High School 2 Sintoga
2. Sample

According to Gay $(2000: 144)$ sampling is the process of reflecting a number of individuals for a study in such a way that the individual represents the large group for which it is selected. He also states that good sample is the one that representative of the population from which is sele ed To choose lese classes which class would be a sample, the researcher chose

Gay (2000: 144) sathindat group or population as sample rA@ADAGAD representative sample of this research the researcher did these steps:
a. Collecting the score MID test scores the entire student's class VIII from the teacher.
b. Test of normality, normality test has an objective to know the population normal or not. The researcher used Kolmogorov Smirnov and Shapiro Wilk to do the normality test, it is SPSS (Statical Product and Service Solution) test. The data would be normality tests if every class is significant or more than 0.05 .

| Tests of Normality $_{\text {Class }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Kolmogorov-Smirnov $^{\text {a }}$ |  | Shapiro-Wilk |  |  |  |
|  | Statistic | df | Sig. | Statistic | df | Sig. |
| VIII1 | .170 | 32 | .020 | .954 | 32 | .182 |
| VIII2 | .188 | 32 | .005 | .925 | 32 | .028 |
| VIII3 | .131 | 28 | $.200^{*}$ | .913 | 28 | .041 |
| VIII4 | .181 | 30 | .040 | .890 | 30 | .014 |
| VIII5 | .141 | 29 | $.200^{*}$ | .940 | 29 | .199 |

*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.
a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

## c. Test Homogenitas Variances

After doing the normality test, the researcher analyzed the homogeneous variation test. This test has an objecti ye as to know the sample homogeny or not.

Test of Homogeneity of Variance

|  |  | Levene Statistic | df1 | df2 | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR00001 | Based on Mean | 4.333 | 4 | 129 | . 003 |
|  | Based on Median | 3.363 | 4 | 129 | . 012 |
|  | Based on Median and with adjusted df | 3.363 | 4 | 88.530 | . 013 |
|  | Based on trimmed mean | 4.289 | 4 | 129 | . 003 |

d. After getting the classes, the sample of this research consisted of two groups: an experimental group and control group. Based on the five classes above, the researcher chose two classes as the sample. In determining the experimental group and control group, the researcher used flapping a coin. So, class VIII. 1 was selected to control class and class VIII. 2 was selected to be an experimental class.

Table 3.5

| Sample of Research |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| No | Class | Total |
| 1 | $\mathrm{VIII}_{1}$ (Control class) | 32 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{VIII}_{2}$ (Experimental class) | 32 |

## C. Place and Time of the Research

This research would be conducted at State Junior High School 2 Sintoga on April until May 2018. The treatment would be conducted at class VIII. 2 in second semester. The researcher gave treatment for five times of meeting in several weeks. After giving treatment for five times in classroom activities, the researcher gave posttest for both classes in sixth meeting and seventh meeting for the students is absent in previous meeting or in post-test, and also see whether the use of Fishbowl Technique gives significant eff students' peaking skill. In this case, the
researcher would be compared the ron post-test in experimental and control class.

An Instrument is a tool that is used to measure a data of the research. According to Sugiyono (2014: 92) instrument of research is used to measure a value of the research's variables. In this research, the researcher would be used the speaking test in form of question-answer.

The instrument in this research was an oral test. A test, in simple terms, is a method of measuring a person's ability, knowledge, or performance in a given domain. (Brown, 2003:3). The researcher used an oral test formed questions-answer as the instrument. As stated by Brown (2003:159), question-and-answer tasks can consist of one or two questions from an interviewer, or they can make up a portion of a whole battery of questions and prompts in an oral interview.

The researcher gave some question to the students one by one in front of the class about two until four minutes and then students answer the teacher question orally. After that, the researcher records the students' speaking. Then, researcher values the students' pronunciation, vocabutary grammar, fluency, and comprehension, after that the researcher gave score towards udents' speaki performance.

While, in scoring the pre-test and the researcher used the Hughes
 grammar, vocabulary, fluency, anPADPAND Those criteria can be seen from the table below:

Table 3.6
Weighting Table

| Criteria | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accent | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Grammar | 6 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 30 | 36 |
| Vocabulary | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 | 24 |
| Fluency | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| Comprehension | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 | 23 |
| Total Score | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 9}$ |

## E. Procedure of the Research

Teaching speaking process should be implemented as creative and communicative as possible the speaking since speaking was an interactive skill that acquires the teacher and students responses to all the activity. That's why Fishbowl technique applied in teaching and learning speaking in the classroom to be more interactive and communicative.

In this research, the researcher used two classes to conduct the research. They are an experimental class and control class. Both of classes were taught by the same material and the same teacher, the same length of time, but different treatment of the experimental class used Fishbowl technique in teaching/speaking, while the control used teacher technique (conven nat) in short, he pesearcher had proposed this procedure.

1. Determining the research time.
2. Prepare the lessons plan arranged by the curiculum.
3. Doing treatment for both experimental and control classes.

Table 3.7
Teaching Procedure for Experimental and Control Group

| No | EXPERIMENTAL CLASS | CONTROL CLASS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pre-activity ( 10 minutes) <br> - Teacher greets the students <br> - Praying <br> - Teacher checks students' attendance <br> - Teacher asks students about the last material <br> - The teacher explains the aim of teaching and learning | Pre-activity (10 minutes) <br> - Teacher greets the students <br> - Praying <br> - Teacher checks students' attendance <br> - Teacher asks students about the last material <br> - The teacher explains the aim of teaching and learning |
| 2 | Main Activity( 60 minutes) Observing <br> Teacher gives the students samples of recou <br> Teacher asks the read the sample to <br> - Teacher asks the observe the texts, goal, generic structure, and the language © s.IN IMAM PAD <br> Questioning <br> - The students ask the teacher what the text about what they read <br> - Under the guidance of the teacher, students ask about some vocabularies they did not know. <br> - Students ask some questions to the teacher based on the text that they do not understand, such as: | Main activity ( 60 minutes) <br> Observing <br> Teacher writes down the topic on te board <br> modeled recount text fudents to read the modeled <br> ht text <br> Teacher asks students to read and <br> BC idmatify NG <br> Questioning <br> - The students ask the teacher what the text about what they read <br> - Under the guidance of the teacher, students ask about some vocabularies they did not know. <br> - Teacher asks some questions to the students based on the text, such as: <br> 1) Who are the participants in the text? |



|  | if she/he wishes to make any comment, asking question, and give critical about the discussion, or simply be in the inner circle, she/he must stand up, tap an inner circle member, and change seats. Students share information Teacher monitoring the activities while the students discussing <br> Communicating <br> Students perform a recount text about their camping experience based on their experience in oral in front of the class. <br> - Teacher gives feedback toward students' speaking product | Communicating <br> - Students perform a recount text about their camping experience based on their experience in oral in front of the class. <br> Teacher gives feedback on the performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Post-Activity ( 10 minul <br> - Teacher gives feedback to teaching process <br> - Teacher andsilidensionzAly what they learned <br> - Teacher gives the homework <br> - Teacher informs the next material. <br> - Teacher close the class | Bo. ctivity ( 10 minutes ) <br> Teacher gives feedback to the teaching process <br> Neacher gives the students homework <br> - Teacher informs the next material. <br> - Teacher close the class |

4. After the treatment, each of the students would be tasted.

After doing the learning process, so the final test is post-test about Recount text.
The test was given to the students in experimental and control classes. The test was oral test formed interview.
5. The result was calculated by using the percentage of improvement.

The researcher scores based on criterion speaking by Hughes (2003:130-133), criteria 1-6, such as pronunciation, grammar, vocabulary, fluency, and comprehension.

## F. The Technique of Data Collections

In this research, the techniques and method which could be used to gather evidence in action research were as follows: tape recording (handphone) and transcript, and interviewing (test). Data of the test consist of students' scores in posttest. The researcher gave five times treatment and post-test gave at the end of the research to both of the classes. The test was an oral test formed interview. In the test, the researcher gave them somequestion s to-students and then the students answer orally

Post-test was aimed to conelude the and of Fishbowl in teaching and
 based on students skills in spearing fluency, and comprehension. There were many scoring in speaking ability according to Hughes.

## G. Technique of Data Analysis

The researcher used the statistical procedures to analyze the scores. It gives a way to analyze the differences in speaking achievement between the control group and the experimental group. To find the standard deviation in experimental and control class, the writer used the formula of the $t$-test.

In this case, T-test means a statistical procedure which is used to determine, whether there was any significant difference between the means of the two sets score from control and experiment class. In analyzing the students' test score; there were some steps that would be done before analyzing the different mean by using t-test formula as follows:

1. This formula will be applied to the decision mean of students' test score in the experiment and control classes;

2. This formula will use to

3. This formula will use to decide standard deyiation of control class;

$$
\mathrm{S}_{2}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{2} \times \sum \mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{x}_{2}^{2}\left(\sum \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{2}\left(\mathrm{n}_{2}-1\right)}
$$

The formula of $t$-test as follows by Sugiyono (2014:128).

$$
\mathrm{t}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{X}_{1}}-\overline{\mathrm{X}_{2}}}{\mathrm{~S} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{1}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}_{2}}}}
$$

With;

$$
S^{2}=\frac{\left(n_{1}-1\right) S_{1}^{2}+\left(n_{2}-2\right) S_{2}^{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2}
$$

Notes;

| $\frac{\mathrm{t}}{X_{1}}$ | $:$ The value of t calculated / observer / obtained |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\frac{:}{X_{2}}$ | $:$ Mean score of the experiment sample |
| $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ | $:$ The number of the control samplect of the experimental class |
| $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ | $:$ The number of subject of the control class |
| $S_{1}^{2}$ | $:$ Standard deviation of the experimental class |
| $S_{2}^{2}$ | $:$ Standard deviation of the control class |

The t-table is employed to see whether there is a significant difference between the mean score of both experimental and control classes. The value of tobtained is consulate with the value of t -table at the degree of freedom (n1-1) + (n21) and the level of confidence of $95 \%=0.05$. If the value of $t$-obtained was less than the value t -table, the null hypothesis will accept; on the contrary, if the value of t obtained is equal or bigger than the ralue of the the the accepted.
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