## CHAPTER III

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

## A. Research Design

The design of this research was an experimental research. Gay, Mills, Airasian (2011; 250-251) states the only method of research that can test hypotheses about cause and effect is this experimental method. The writer wants to know the effect of using Round Robin technique in teaching speaking at seventh class of Islamic Junior High School 1 Padang.

In this research there were two classes. First, experimental class and the other is control class. Both of these classes should have the same topic and the same length of time in learning. The writer was teaching in experimental class and control class. This research held in six meetings, this meeting is considered enough to see the difference between the two classes. In this case, there are preliminary research data to see the base skill of students before teach and post-test after teach in the class.

The writer asks to the teacher about English test as the preliminary research data both classes after deciding experimental class or control class. Then, the writer continues the teaching by using Round Robin technique in experimental class and for the control class the writer teaching without using Round Robin technique.

Table 3.1

## Research Design

| Group | Independent <br> Variable | Dependent <br> Variable |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| E | X | O |
| C | Y | O |

## Note:

E = Experimental Group
C = Control group
X = Treatment teaching by Round Robin Technique
$\mathrm{Y}=$ No treatment by Pair Work
$\mathrm{O}=$ Post test
B. Population and Sample

## 1. Population

Gay, Mills, Airasian (2011: 129) states that testing a sample, especially in a quantitative study, can allow the researcher to make inferences about the performance of the larger group, which is known as the population. The writer takes the population who interest to writer, the group to which he would like the result of the study to be generalizing. In the other words, population is the total number of students on a research. The population of this research is the second year student of Islamic Junior High School 1 Padang. There were
eleven classes. The teacher, Dra. Heriyanti took two classes VIII 5 and VIII 6 as sample for the research.

Table 3.2. Population of the Research

| CLASS | Total |
| :---: | :---: |
| VIII 5 | 31 |
| VIII 6 | 31 |
| Total | 62 |

Source: English teacher at class VIII
of Islamic Junior High School 1 Padang

## 2. Sample

Gay, Mills, Airasian $(2011 ; 129)$ states that a sample is a group of individuals, items, or events that represents the characteristics of the larger group from which the sample is drawn. By using cluster sampling, Gay, Mills, Airasian (2011: 135) states that cluster sampling is intact groups, not individuals, are randomly selected with similar characteristics, the writer chose VIII 6 class is the sample of the research. To get the representative sample of this research the researcher does these steps:
a. Collect the Mid Term Score of English Examination data from all students at the eighth classs.
b. Test of Homogeneous Variances

The researcher analyzes the homogeneous variation test. This test has an objective as to know the sample homogeny or not.
c. After getting the classes, sample of this research consists of two groups: an experimental group and control group. Based on the five classes above, the researcher chooses two classes as the sample. In determining experimental group and control group, the researcher used cluster sampling. So, class VIII 5 is selected to be control class and class VIII 6 is selected to be experimental class.

| No Table 3.3. Sample of Research |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|}\hline \text { Class } & \text { Male } & \text { Female } & \text { Total } \\ \hline 1 & \begin{array}{c}\text { VIII 5 } \\ \text { (Control class) }\end{array} & 12 & 19 \\ \hline 2 & \begin{array}{c}\text { VIII 6 } \\ \text { (Experimental } \\ \text { class) }\end{array} & 10 & 21\end{array}\right] 31$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total of Sample |  |  | 62 |

C. Technique of Data Collection BONJ

1. Speaking Test

The data of this research was the students' score on their speaking tests. These scores are from the post-test. Each test lasted for 2-3 minutes and both will be apply to the experimental and control class.

## 2. Scoring

In scoring the students' speaking skill, the writer decides to choose the one constitute by Brown (2004: 172-173) for measurements of speaking skill as follows:

# Indicator of Speaking Assessment according to Brown 

| Grammar | Vocabulary | Comprehension |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IErrors in grammar are frequent, <br> but speaker can be understood <br> by a native speaker used to <br> dealing with fereigners <br> attempting to speak his <br> language. | Speaking vocabulary <br> inadequate to express anything <br> but the most elementary needs. | Within the scope of his very <br> limited language experience, <br> can understand simple <br> questions and statements if <br> delivered with slowed speech, <br> repetition, or paraphrase. |


| II | Can usually handle elementary <br> constructions quite accurately <br> but does not have thorough or <br> confident contiol of the <br> grammar. | Has speaking vocabulary <br> sufficient to express himself <br> simply with some <br> circumlocutions. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | | Can get the gist of most |
| :--- |
| conversations of non-technical |
| subjects (i.e., topics that require |
| no specialized knowledge). |


| V Equivalent to that of an | Speech on all levels is fully <br> accepted by educated native <br> educated native speaker. <br> speakers in all its features <br> including breadth of <br> vocabulary and idioms, <br> colloquialisms, and pertinent <br> cultural references. |
| :--- | :--- |


| Fluency | Pronunciation | Task |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (No specific fluency description. Refer to other four language areas for implied level of fluency.) | Errors in pronunciation are frequent but can be understood by a native speaker used to dealing with foreigners attempting to speak his language. | Can ask and answer questions on topics very familiar to him. Able to satisfy routine travel needs and minimum courtesy requirements. (Should be able to order a simple meal, ask for shelter or lodging, ask and give simple directions, make purchases, and tell time.) |
| Can handle with confidence but not with facility most social situations, including introductions and casual conversations about current events, as well as work, family, and autobiographica! information. | Accent is intelligible though often quite faulty. | Able to satisfy routine social demands and work requirements; needs help in handling any complication or difficulties. |
| Can discuss particular interests of competence with reasonable ease. Rarely has to grope for words. | Emrors never interfere with undertanding and rarely disturb the native speaker. Accent may be obviously foreign. | Can participate effectively in most formal and informal conversations on practical, social, and professional topics. |


| Able to use the language fluently <br> on all levels normally pertinent to <br> professional needs. Can <br> participate in any conversation <br> within the range of this <br> experience with a high degree of <br> fluency. | Eerors in pronunciation are <br> quite rare. | Would rarely be taken for a <br> native speaker but can respond <br> appropriately even in <br> unfamiliar situations. Can <br> handle informal interpreting <br> from and into language. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Has complete fluency in the <br> language such that his speech is <br> fully accepted by educated native <br> speakers. | Equivalent to and fully <br> accepted by educated native <br> speakers. | Speaking proficiency equivalent <br> to that of an educated native <br> speaker. |

Table 3.4

## Weighting Table

| Student <br> Code | COMPONENTS |  |  |  |  | Score $((\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{F}+$ <br> C) $\mathrm{x} 4=100$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accent (0-5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gramma } \\ & \mathrm{r} \\ & (0-5) \end{aligned}$ | Vocabulary $(0-5)$ | Fluency <br> (0-5) | Competence (0-5) |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## D. Technique of Data Analysis

Using the score results of post-test for students' speaking skill, the writer first study the effect of Round Robin technique by using t-test formula, as states in Sudjana (2006: 305).
$t_{\text {observed }}=\frac{M_{1}-M_{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{\left(\Sigma x_{1}{ }^{2}+\Sigma x_{2}^{2}\right)\left(N_{1}+N_{2}\right)}{\left(N_{1}+N_{2}-2\right)} \frac{\left(N_{1} \cdot N_{2}\right)}{}}}$

$M_{1}$ : Mean score of experimental class post-test
$M_{2}$ : Mean score of control class post-test
$\Sigma x_{1}$ : Sum of standard deviation of experimental class post-test score
$\Sigma x_{2}$ : Sum of standard deviation of control class post-test score
$N$ : Number of cases

Furthermore, the Round Robin technique is said to be effective by consulting the calculation result of $t$-observed with the $t$-table value based on its df amount $(\mathrm{df}=\mathrm{N}-1)$. If the t -observed is larger than the value of t table, then it is said that the technique is effective in improving students' speaking skill. But, if it is smaller, the technique then is said to be ineffective.

## E. Research Instrument

The instrument of this research was a speaking test, which was produced by the writer himself. In this case, the writer used the achievement criteria for scoring instrument.

The writer gave oral test for the students to know the effect of teaching speaking by using Round Robin technique. The writer divided the score into five criteria, which are the scores of pronunciation, grammar, vocabulary, fluency and comprehension. Each criteria, then, is rated into five scale of rating scores.

After that, to got the mean, the scores from all criteria were sum and divided into five. Moreover, the post-test was giving after the treatment to the class.

